Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/802,419	VAN GELDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica L. Reidel	3766
All Participants:	Status of Applicati	on:
(1) <u>Jessica L. Reidel</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Paul H. McDowall</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 February 2007	Time: <u>1:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:	•	
1	/,	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief success</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide arate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant and Examiner agreed to omit the phrases "adequate" and "inadequate" from Claim 1 since such limitations were not supported by the drawnings or Applicant's disclosure. The method of the claimed invention pertains to determining whether or not fusion occurred (i.e. yes or no).